



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,705	11/21/2003	Vadim Sheinin	YOR920030561US1 (17147)	2960
23389 7590 10/13/2009 SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530				
EXAMINER BLOOM, NATHAN J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/13/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold; font-size: 1.2em;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/719,705</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) SHEININ, VADIM</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner NATHAN BLOOM</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 2624</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/719,705	Applicant(s) SHEININ, VADIM	Examiner NATHAN BLOOM	Art Unit 2624
Application No. 10/719,705	Applicant(s) SHEININ, VADIM				
Examiner NATHAN BLOOM	Art Unit 2624				

All Participants:

(1) NATHAN BLOOM.

(2) Steven Fischman (Reg. 34594).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 October 2009 **Time:** 3:00 PM EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner inquired as to whether a response had been filed to the previously filed office action. Applicant responded that no response had been filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhaves M Mehta/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624
/NATHAN BLOOM/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)